TCP User Timeout Option (UTO)
draft-ietf-tcpm-tcp-uto-04.txt

Lars Eggert (NEC) & Fernando Gont (UTN/FRH)

67th IETF Meeting, San Diego, California, USA
November 5-10, 2006
Overview

- Peers exchange local, per-connection user timeouts through advisory TCP option and adapt local user timeout accordingly
- Motivation:
  - longer UTO: tolerate longer disconnections
  - shorter UTO: less TCP state at busy servers
- TCP mod, not policy for picking user timeouts
- Adopted as WG item at the 61st IETF Meeting (Washington, DC, USA).
Main changes introduced in -03

- Thorough review by Gorry Fairhurst
- Rearranged the Introduction
  - It is now clear from the beginning what the document is about
- Removed discussion of SO_RCVTIMEO and SO_SNDTIMEO
  - these parameters refer to reading/writing from/to socket buffers, rather than waiting for data to be acked, etc.
- Clarified that the UTO option is disabled by default.
  - Could be enabled on a per-connection basis by a socket option, or on a system-wide basis by a toggle (e.g., sysctl)
- Enforce lower limit of one RTO
  - Having a USER TIMEOUT of less than one RTO could be problematic
Main changes introduced in -04

- Added advise that an UTO SHOULD be sent in the first segment sent after the SYN segment that initiated the 3WHS. (as suggested by Caitlin Bestler)
  - Particularly useful if the end that performed the passive OPEN does not record all the information included in the initial SYN (e.g., SYN cookies).

- Clarified the impact on interoperability of not negotiating the option during the connection establishment phase (as suggested by Jamshid Mahdavi)
  - Potential of 3% of failures (i.e., it is okay in the vast majority of cases)
  - Those failures result from violating the requirement that TCP MUST ignore unknown options
Changes to be introduced in -05

• Editorial tweaks suggested by Mark Allman
• No major changes
Moving forward

• We think the draft is ready for WGLC.
• Any questions/comments?